

Walberg	Watt	Westmoreland
Walz (MN)	Waxman	Wilson (FL)
Wasserman	Webster	Wittman
Schultz	Welch	Woolsey
Waters	West	Yarmuth

## NOT VOTING—15

Akin	Cardoza	Jackson Lee
Barton (TX)	Cohen	(TX)
Black	Duffy	Pitts
Buchanan	Fincher	Sullivan
Burton (IN)	Fleischmann	
Campbell	Jackson (IL)	

□ 1724

Messrs. CANSECO, TURNER, and GOSAR changed their vote from “aye” to “no.”

So the concurrent resolution was not concurred in.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## STOCK ACT AMENDMENTS

Mr. DANIEL E. LUNGREN of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (S. 3510) to prevent harm to the national security or endangering the military officers and civilian employees to whom internet publication of certain information applies, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. JOHNSON of Ohio). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 3510

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

## SECTION 1. EFFECTIVE DATE DELAY.

The STOCK Act (Public Law 112-105) is amended—

(1) in section 8(a)(1), by striking “August 31, 2012” and inserting “September 30, 2012”; and

(2) in section 11(a)(1), by striking “August 31, 2012” and inserting “September 30, 2012”.

## SEC. 2. IMPLEMENTATION OF PTR REQUIREMENTS UNDER STOCK ACT.

Effective September 30, 2012, for purposes of implementing subsection (1) of section 103 of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 (as added by section 6 of the STOCK Act, Public Law 112-105) for reporting individuals whose reports under section 101 of such Act (5 U.S.C. App. 101) are required to be filed with the Clerk of the House of Representatives, section 102(e) of such Act (5 U.S.C. App. 102(e)) shall apply as if the report under such subsection (1) were a report under such section 101 but only with respect to the transaction information required under such subsection (1).

The bill was ordered to be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## WHAT THE AMERICAN PEOPLE WANT

(Ms. PELOSI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, this Republican majority is prepared to adjourn the House of Representatives to leave for the August district work period without accomplishing what the American people have sent us here to do. They want us to create jobs. They want us to reduce the deficit, and they want us to give a middle-income tax cut, which the President has suggested and the American people overwhelmingly support.

Instead, we have no jobs agenda, no tax cuts for the middle class, no farm bill, no Violence Against Women Act, no cybersecurity strategy, no balanced, bipartisan plan to prevent the sequester.

The only thing the Republicans have done is to increase the uncertainty that threatens another debt crisis and undermines our economic growth. Now they want to head out of town to campaign, when Congress should stay in session to address the most pressing challenges facing our Nation: job creation, growth in our economy, and strengthening the middle class.

Mr. Speaker, Democrats want us to get our job done. When we go home to meet with our constituents, we want to say what we have accomplished and what results we can bring that have been worked out in a fair, bipartisan, balanced way.

In spite of this, the Republican obstruction at every turn is preventing that.

Let's get to work. Let's do the job our constituents elected us to do: to create jobs with them and to relieve the uncertainty in their lives.

□ 1730

## HOUR OF MEETING ON TOMORROW

Mr. DANIEL E. LUNGREN of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 10 a.m. tomorrow.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

## JULY IN REVIEW

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, we are now at some point in time going to conclude 4 weeks in session with little to show for it.

Over the past month, the Republican do-nothing Congress has continued its relentless pursuit of message over substance. Not only have they failed to address job creation or deficit reduction in any serious way, they have also refused to work with us to pass bills that the Senate approved with bipartisan support: Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act—critically important to women and to families; postal

reform—absolutely essential; a farm bill.

Their approach has been confrontation, unfortunately, not compromise. As a result, House Republicans have been unable to govern.

This week, in the most brazen abandonment of responsibility we've seen yet, Republicans chose to adjourn for the summer, which we prevented, without a middle class tax cut extension signed into law.

We ought not to adjourn, ladies and gentlemen of this House, until we pass a middle class tax cut.

## PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas will state his inquiry.

Mr. GOHMERT. Isn't this the point at which Speaker PELOSI 4 years ago turned off the lights and microphones and wouldn't let us make speeches? I'm just curious.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman has not stated a parliamentary inquiry.

## SECURING ONLINE PRIVACY

(Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia. I've got a cell phone somewhere around here. Here it is. I'm going to ask:

Is this a tracking device or is there somebody in this device who is taking my photographs? my videos? my treasured personal stuff like that—my address book?

What is this?

It is something that we need to be smart about. Smart government policies should ensure our data isn't improperly collected, sold, and exploited; but what we've learned from SOPA is that we tried to shove legislation down the public's throat, and we failed. We learned we'd better consult the folks who use the Internet before we regulate it.

That's why, last week, I launched AppRights.us. Using the Web and social media, we are asking what smart policy looks like before we write a bill. We are using the Internet to make sure we don't break the Internet.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage my colleagues and the public to visit AppRights.us and to send their thoughts and concerns. Tell Congress how we can do a better job of securing your privacy.

## TAXMAN GRABS A PIECE OF THE GOLD MEDAL

(Mr. POE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, Team USA's Fierce Five became the